PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING OF APRIL 20, 2021

COMMISSIONER AUSTIN F. CULLEN

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS		
Witness	Description	Page
	Proceedings commenced at 9:30 a.m.	1
Christy Clark (for the commission)	Examination by Mr. McGowan	1
(101 the commission)	Proceedings adjourned at 11:06 a.m.	82
	Proceedings reconvened at 11:20 a.m.	82
Christy Clark	Examination by Mr. McGowan (continuing)	83
(for the commission)	Examination by Mr. Rauch-Davis	85
	Examination by Ms. Hughes	97
	Proceedings adjourned at 11:49 a.m. to April 21, 2021	108
INDEX OF EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION		
Letter Description	on	Page

No exhibits for identification marked.

		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
No.	Description		Page

No exhibits entered.

1	April 20, 2021
2	(Via Videoconference)
3	(PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT 9:30 A.M.)
4	THE REGISTRAR: Good morning. The hearing is now
5	resumed. Mr. Commissioner.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. Yes,
7	Mr. McGowan.
8	MR. McGOWAN: Yes, Mr. Commissioner. The witness
9	today will be Ms. Christy Clark, who is visible
10	on the screen. Her counsel, Mr. Cooper, is also
11	present.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
13	MR. McGOWAN: If the witness can be affirmed, please.
14	CHRISTY CLARK, a witness
15	called for the
16	commission, affirmed.
17	THE REGISTRAR: Please state your full name and spell
18	your first name and last name for the record.
19	THE WITNESS: Christina Clark, C-l-a-r-k.
20	THE REGISTRAR: Thank you.
21	EXAMINATION BY MR. McGOWAN:
22	Q Good morning, Ms. Clark.
23	A Good morning.
24	Q Can you hear and see me okay?
25	A I can.

- 1 Q Okay. If that changes at any time, just let us
- 2 know.
- 3 A I will.
- 4 Q I'm going to start by spending just a couple of
- 5 minutes reviewing for the Commissioner some of
- 6 your relevant background.
- 7 You were first elected as an MLA in 1996?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 O And served as an MLA from 1996 till
- 10 approximately 2004?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And --
- 13 A 2005, sorry.
- 14 Q 2005.
- 15 A 2005, yeah.
- 16 Q And during the years of 2001, I believe till
- 17 2004, you held cabinet posts?
- 18 A That's right.
- 19 Q I wonder if you could just tell the Commissioner
- 20 what posts in cabinet you held during those
- 21 years.
- 22 A I held -- I started with education and Deputy
- 23 Premier, and finished with children and
- families.
- Q Okay. Thank you. And then from 2005 till 2011

1 you left government and worked in the private 2 sector? 3 I did. Α 4 Q I wonder if you could just in an overview 5 fashion tell the Commissioner what you were 6 occupying yourself with in those years. 7 Α I was -- well, I'm sure the Commissioner was 8 listening. I was on CKNW and hosted The Christy Clark Show for most of that time. 9 10 Thank you. You successfully ran for the Q leadership of the provincial Liberal Party in 11 12 2011? 13 2010 I started the campaign, and I was elected Α 14 at the end of February 2011 and then was sworn in as Premier in March 2011. 15 16 And you ultimately served as the Premier of this Q 17 province from 2011 till 2017? 18 That's right. Α 19 I'd like to ask you a few questions about your 20 process for selecting your cabinet. As Premier 21 I take it it falls to you, the responsibility 22 falls to you to form a cabinet. 23 Α Yes. 24 I wonder if you could take the Commissioner Q 25 through the process you went through by which

1		you determined which MLAs would occupy which
2		cabinets posts.
3	А	Well, the first thing to keep in mind, I think,
4		for context is that any Premier or Prime
5		Minister only has a certain number of people for
6		whom they can choose. So it's not a huge pool
7		of people. In my case it was about 49 people
8		and the first cabinet was 17 people plus me.
9		And so from amongst that 49 people I wanted to
10		make sure that we had full regional
11		representation as much as possible across the
12		province. I wanted to make sure that we had
13		gender diversity. And in fact we had almost
14		the whole time we had about half the cabinet
15		were women. And I wanted to make sure that
16		there was diversity as well so that we had, you
17		know, really good representation of the
18		different ethnic communities in the province.
19		So you sort of start with that, making sure the
20		province is fully represented, and then you
21		start thinking about okay, well, given these
22		constraints, how do I put the best people in the
23		right jobs for them given the challenges that we
24		have ahead?
25		So it's kind of a you know, you're making

1		a puzzle and putting together a puzzle, and it's
2		never perfect. But, you know, it's probably the
3		hardest and most important job that a Premier
4		will take on. Because it's, you know, a
5		\$50 billion enterprise. The Premier is not
6		doing all the jobs, can't do all the jobs, and
7		so you need to make sure you have good people
8		doing the jobs. And, you know, given those
9		constraints, it's sometimes a challenge. But I
10		think we had some good cabinets.
11	Q	Okay. And did you canvass with some or all of
12		the MLAs you were choosing from their
13		preferences to assignments?
14	А	What I did when I was elected in 2011, I was
15		elected into a caucus, almost none of whom had
16		supported me, and I hadn't been there for quite
17		a while, so I wanted to get to know them and
18		understand what it was, how they were feeling
19		about where they were at, you know, given their
20		recent experience, which I hadn't been a part
21		of, and then what they wanted to do. And when I
22		say that I mean broadly. So are you interested
23		in traffic safety in your community; are you
24		interested in changing the laws around privacy;
25		are you interested in, you know, working with

1		local government. I mean, there's a whole range
2		of stuff people might want to do. So what I
3		tried to do is get a sense from them about what
4		their big goals were, what got them into
5		politics, what excited them about politics, get
6		a feel for what their backgrounds were, what
7		they might be good at. And, you know, some of
8		them would say, here's what I'd like to do. But
9		mostly people are pretty polite about that stuff
10		because I think people you know, people feel
11		like it's an honour to be elected and it's an
12		honour to be selected for cabinet. And, you
13		know, I think it was occasionally people said
14		what they wanted to do but mostly not.
15		Sometimes people said what they didn't want to
16		do.
17	Q	Fair enough. I'd like to ask you to maybe help
18		the Commissioner understand the nature of your
19		relationship with your cabinet ministers. Was
20		it sort of a direct-line report as somebody
21		might see in an employment context, or was it
22		something different?
23	А	No. Well, I mean, when I think about a direct
24		line of reporting, I think about having, you
25		know, a weekly meeting set with your boss and

1	you sit down and you review everything. I was
2	in constant contact with everybody in cabinet
3	and regular contact with our caucus as well.
4	You know, so it was a pretty collegial
5	relationship. I mean, no one forgets who they
6	work for and no one forgets that you don't have
7	to be in cabinet, you know, and you serve at the
8	Premier's will, but, you know, everybody had my
9	cell number. We would meet when the house was
10	sitting once a week in cabinet, and, you know,
11	so we'd always have that regular touch point.
12	And we would sit in the house and chat and talk.
13	There were lots of opportunities for unscheduled
14	discussion. That happened a lot. And there
15	were lots of opportunities, though, for people
16	to set up a meeting with me any time that I
17	wanted. My advice to my staff was look, if
18	anyone in the caucus wants to see me it takes
19	precedence over everything else. So that's the
20	way we ran it.
21	Because as I said, the Premier can't run
22	everything and can't be involved in everything
23	or the whole system would collapse because it
24	would be so slow. But you want to make sure,
25	you know therefore you want to make sure

1		you're in constant contact with the people
2		you're depending on.
3	Q	When you assigned a portfolio to a minister, did
4		you expect them to run it autonomously, or was
5		there an expectation that if there were issues
6		of particular significance they would bring it
7		to you for direction or advice?
8	А	There was. And, I mean, you know, not just an
9		expectation on my part. I mean, you know, the
10		way government works is ministers need
11		permission for many things, but for many other
12		things there's already permission kind of
13		granted.
14		So, you know, government is big and
15		complicated, so, you know, I had a deputy to
16		whom all the deputy ministers reported. They
17		were also working with each of the ministers.
18		So there's kind of a parallel system that
19		happens at the same time through which
20		information flows back and forth constantly. So
21		my deputy would keep me up to date on what was
22		going on through her deputies. You know, I
23		would be in constant contact with ministers.
24		Ministers would talk to the deputies. So
25		there's just a lot of flow of information that

1		happens between, you know, and within
2		government.
3	Q	Did you provide direction to the ministers on
4		issues of particular importance?
5	А	Yes. I mean, so what we started with were
6		mandate letters, and the mandate letters were
7		very, very broad, so because what they did is
8		they reflected the four-year plan for
9		government, really, which is what we ran on in
10		our platform. We had a thick platform, jobs
11		plan, a plan for every different ministry that
12		had been set out of our key eight export markets
13		that we were focused on to create jobs. So we
14		put you know, most of the mandate letters
15		were focused on okay, look, here's what we said
16		we were going to do in four years; you're the
17		minister of it; these are the things you have to
18		accomplish and keep track of it. And I
19		encouraged ministers to actually put it on their
20		desk so that they could look at it on a regular
21		basis and not forget. Because I think it's easy
22		to, you know, on the day-to-day forget sometimes
23		the bigger goals.
24		And then those mandate letters would be

translated in each ministry -- with the

1	assistance of the whole ministry, not just the
2	minister into what we called service plans.
3	So then those service plans would say okay,
4	we're going to so take LNG, for example. You
5	know, brand new industry we were creating, never
6	been, LNG never been exported from British
7	Columbia before, and so I said in the mandate
8	letter to the minister, your job is to create an
9	LNG ministry. So then in his ministry it would
10	say something like, all right, we've got to
11	figure out how to make the royalty regime work
12	properly, and there would be a range of other
13	things. We've got to work with the Minister of
14	Indigenous Relations to make sure that we are
15	dealing with and supporting indigenous
16	communities and including them. And then they
17	would write a letter of expectation to, say, the
18	oil and gas commission, saying okay, folks,
19	here's your part of that job, and it would get
20	more detailed and more detailed.
21	So in the case of BCLC, the minister would
22	have a job to make sure that government was run
23	with integrity, that they were meeting their
24	revenue expectations, that they were that
25	they were supporting the Crown corporations.

And then they would go to those Crowns and in BCLC they would write a specific letter of expectation -- you know, because I think you may ask me this, Mr. McGowan -- in their letter of expectation for every year that I was there they specifically named anti-money laundering, and in every year the discussion of that became more specific and more detailed. So then that's kind of the first -- that's kind of the output half of it.

know, each of the Crowns was coming back with the service plan, noting how far they'd gotten.

Each ministry was reporting back on their service plans and it was all rolling up, and there's a constant kind of discussion and integration, an integrated communication between the agencies of government where expectations are expressed and then progress is reported back. And then it sort of rolls up. You know, my view of government is it's so big and so complicated that you really have to help everybody understand their part in the bigger plan. And those, you know, really boiled down to specific expectations, you know, sometimes at

1 the very individual level. So that's what we 2 tried to create. You spoke of the letters of expectation to BCLC 3 Q 4 and each year identifying anti-money laundering 5 measures as an issue of priority. Did they also identify revenue expectations as an issue of 6 7 priority each year? 8 Yep, absolutely. Now, but remember, though, Α 9 with revenue expectations, we do that with every 10 part of government. And it's -- you know, it's 11 part of ensuring that we're on budget. And so, 12 you know, from a public perspective, the budget is presented and the accounting is done for the 13 14 previous year, and it looks like it's kind of 15 one -- it happens at one time. It is a daily 16 exercise within the Ministry of Finance seeing 17 where we're at with various parts of government, 18 are we on budget, are we off budget. And I 19 would say from my government's perspective we 20 were a lot more interested in controlling costs 21 and just trying to constrain the growth in 22 government than we were in trying to collect 23 more revenue. Really philosophically that's who 24 we were. That's what we ran on in the election. 25

We were pretty clear about it. And so, you

1		know, those are just sort of the two ways of
2		going about government. You can try and get
3		more revenue from wherever you can find it,
4		usually taxpayers, or you can try and constrain
5		the growth in government. So I would say yes,
6		there were revenue expectations, absolutely, for
7		every part of government that was a revenue
8		producing part. But we were also very much
9		concerned with making sure that government as a
10		whole constrained its growth and kept its costs
11		down.
12	Q	Okay. Mandate letters went to each of the
13		ministries. I gather that's a fairly tall order
14		to craft those. Were you personally involved
15		in did you have a hand in drafting those
16		letters personally?
17	А	Yes, yes. But as I said, though, none of it was
18		any surprise to anybody because we ran on it in
19		the election. So each if you go back and
20		look at the mandate letters what you'll see are
21		the very broad strokes. I mean, government is
22		\$50 billion or \$52 billion dollars when I was
23		there. It's a lot more now. Our mandate
24		letters may be four pages long. So, you know,
25		not everything is in the mandate letter but I

1		was very much a part of making sure that those
2		were constructed so that we didn't miss anything
3		that we promised to do and then once the mandate
4		letter was produced and the service plans were
5		produced, that's when ministry would start
6		adding in things that they were already doing
7		but hadn't been part of our platform,
8		nonetheless that were necessary and important
9		for government.
10	Q	The gaming portfolio moved through I believe
11		three ministers during your time. Was it your
12		decision to assign and subsequently move the
13		portfolio?
14	А	Yes. I mean, all those decisions would
15		ultimately about who was managing what would go
16		to the Premier.
17	Q	At any time during your tenure as Premier did
18		any minister request or express a preference to
19		be assigned a gaming portfolio?
20	А	No, not that I can recall.
21	Q	Portfolio was initially assigned to Ms. Bond as
22		Solicitor General. I wonder if you can take the
23		Commissioner through your decision process in
24		making that decision.
25	А	Well, I became a Premier in 2011 having been out

1	for a while, and I knew that Shirley was
2	interested in doing that job. No woman had ever
3	been Solicitor General in British Columbia's
4	history. They you know, there's kind of this
5	thing where they say that the women get the
6	social get the kids and the hospitals and the
7	men get the guns and the money. And that was
8	part of the reason that, you know, Solicitor
9	General had been a very had been an entirely
10	male domain. So I did want to choose a woman
11	for it. She's an incredibly competent woman
12	with an unending tolerance for hard work. And
13	so I thought it was the right ministry for her
14	to do that. Then and so gaming was a part of
15	it at that time.
16	So then what happened was Barry Penner, who
17	had been the Attorney General, stepped aside,
18	and so we combined the two ministries. I was
19	trying to keep cabinets small and combine the
20	two ministries. And by it was I think in
21	August 2011. And then by February 2012 it was
22	clear that the ministry was just way too
23	sprawling; it was just too big. Even though it
24	had two deputies, it was just too big. So what

we did is we took about -- we spread some of the

1		responsibilities around to about four other
2		ministers, so it wasn't just gaming, it was also
3		liquor, but it was ICBC. There were things in
4		the Forest Act, the Ministry of Education Act,
5		the Public Service Act. So there were a whole
6		number of acts and a few Crowns that came out of
7		the ministry and moved to other ministries just
8		because the ministry had become unwieldly,
9		so
10	Q	Okay. So ultimately the portfolio moved in 2012
11		to Minister Coleman?
12	А	Yeah, moved to Minister Coleman for 16 months.
13	Q	Okay. Mr. Coleman at the time was the Minister
14		for Mines and Natural Resources?
15	А	He was the Minister for Energy and Mines. So he
16		had LNG in his portfolio.
17	Q	Energy and mines, thank you.
18	А	Energy, mines and housing at the time, I think,
19		too.
20	Q	It seems like perhaps a more awkward fit for the
21		gaming portfolio than the solicitor general's
22		ministry.
23	А	Well, I mean, I guess you could argue that about
24		any of the Crowns. You know, where should ICBC
25		necessarily be; should it be in transportation;

1		should it be in finance, should it be it had
2		be in Attorney General, so you know, and why
3		is housing with the Attorney General now? And
4		why was it with the energy minister then?
5		You know, the thing about the Crown the
6		thing about putting it with Rich at that point
7		was I needed somebody to do it. And Rich had
8		about I mean, he'd been involved as minister
9		for gaming for probably most of the previous
10		decade. He'd been a Solicitor General himself.
11		He'd commissioned the Kroeker Report himself,
12		which is you know, we were waiting for
13		that to be well, we just had that delivered a
14		couple of months before and were implementing
15		it. And he had a background in law enforcement.
16		So, you know, he was you know, when I was
17		dealing with the same cabinet we had and looking
18		for places to distribute responsibilities from
19		that ministry, it seemed natural to give it to
20		somebody who had experience with it and really
21		understood it.
22	Q	Did you have any specific discussion with him at
23		the time the portfolio was assigned to him by
24		you on the issue of money laundering or
25		anti-money laundering measures?

1	А	Well, I mean, the Kroeker Report was underway of
2		being implemented, and, you know, we both knew
3		that that needed to get done. You know, I
4		can't I don't recall a specific conversation
5		around that, but I'm sure that that would have
6		happened.
7	Q	The portfolio was with Minister Coleman for I
8		think a little over a year and was then
9		transferred to Minister de Jong. Can you
10		explain to the Commissioner what led to that
11		move.
12	А	Well, we were re-elected, and Minister de Jong
13		became the Minister of Finance. Rich then took
14		on some of the very biggest jobs in government,
15		so then he became Deputy Premier. He had
16		responsibility for building the LNG industry,
17		which was a core element of our platform. And
18		it was a very complicated task. He continued
19		with housing. And he was sitting as a senior
20		on four senior committees of government and I
21		really needed him to focus on LNG to get that
22		done, which he did. And so it felt like gaming
23		could go back to the Ministry of Finance where
24		it had been before, I think, in previous years,

but also, too, Mike de Jong, you know, he's a

1 trained lawyer and also a very, very experienced 2 minister in government. 3 Okay. Do I take it from your evidence that your Q 4 mandate letters to each of these ministers 5 address the issue of money laundering? You know, I don't think any of the mandate 6 Α 7 letters did, but what we did do is make sure 8 that every single one of the letters of 9 expectation to the Crown corporation, to BCLC, 10 absolutely did. So, you know, the mandate letters as I said were big, broad reflections of 11 12 our platform. And, you know, that hadn't been something that was in our platform, but when we 13 14 went to the service plans for the ministries and 15 they were rolling those up and putting them into 16 letters of expectations for the Crowns, what 17 they were doing is adding in the things that 18 they were already working on and that also 19 needed to -- you know, where they needed to 20 report progress, so that's where those 21 anti-money laundering strategies were found was 22 in, amongst the existing important work that 23 government was doing that hadn't been talked 24 about in our platform. Because you can't --25 government doesn't just implement the platform.

1		There's a lot of other stuff that happens in
2		government that needs to be done that's vitally
3		important that doesn't just get it doesn't
4		necessarily get talked about publicly.
5	Q	Do I take it from the fact that money laundering
6		was addressed in the letters of expectations and
7		service plans do I take it from that that you
8		were aware that this was an issue of some
9		concern that needed to be addressed throughout
10		your time as Premier?
11	А	Absolutely. And that was you know, remember,
12		the Kroeker Report had been commissioned in
13		February just before I got elected. It was
14		delivered to my government in August of 2011,
15		and, you know, we began implementing it. So,
16		you know, we were implementing the Kroeker
17		Report. There were a whole host of other
18		changes that were being implemented at the time
19		casinos like, for example, more BCLC and GPEB
20		staff into casinos, allowing temporary bans on
21		patrons, isolating chips by facility. Those
22		kinds of things were also happening at the same
23		time that the Kroeker Report was underway. And
24		then ultimately creating the Joint Illegal
25		Gaming task force, which has turned out to be,

I'm really proud to say, very successful. 1 During your evidence a couple of times you've 2 Q 3 referred to Crowns and when you say that you 4 mean Crown corporations. I take it. I do. 5 Α Thank you. During the time you were Premier, 6 7 the Gaming Policy and Enforcement Branch in 8 British Columbia Lottery Corporation were 9 overseen by the same minister; is that correct? 10 Sorry, say that again. Α During the time you were Premier, GPEB and BCLC 11 Q 12 were overseen by the same minister? I think for the entire time. I might -- I 13 Α 14 believe so, yes. 15 Did you consider the advisability of having both Q 16 of those entities in the same ministry given the 17 potential conflict between the regulator and the 18 Crown corporation they were tasked with 19 regulating? 20 Well, government isn't the sole -- GPEB is not Α 21 the sole regulator of gaming. I mean, there's 22 law enforcement. There's local law enforcement. 23 There's also local RCMP law enforcement. 24 There's FINTRAC. There is -- BCLC also has its

rules and regulations that it needs to live

1	with. There's Solicitor General Ministry as
2	well. And of course there's GPEB.
3	So, you know, there's a whole there's a
4	lot of fingers in the pie when it comes to
5	enforcement, which I think is good to have
6	different perspectives in it. Sometimes it can
7	be a little bit confusing, I think, and maybe
8	too multilayered. But, you know, I think what
9	government does is has to always balance revenue
10	concerns against other issues. And in
11	particular in areas like gaming, tobacco,
12	alcohol, government is very concerned about
13	potential social harms that result from that.
14	So, you know, that balance is something
15	government is always working to find. What
16	government does is says all right, tell us how
17	much money you expect to bring in in revenue,
18	whether you're BC liquor control board or
19	whether you're BCLC, but here are the rules and
20	regulations; we're going to make them clear and
21	we expect you to meet those, so everything you
22	do has to work has to be done within the
23	rules and regulations that we set. And that
24	happens all across government, all across
25	ministries, whether it's mining or forestry or

1		tobacco or liquor. And never did we say that
2		revenue considerations would come before
3		stopping criminal activity. Stopping criminal
4		activity in any sphere in the province was very
5		important for our government throughout my time
6		there.
7	Q	Thank you. Did you ever turn your mind to
8		whether it might be more effective to have the
9		Crown corporation charged with conducting and
10		managing gaming overseen by a ministry that was
11		different than the ministry overseeing the
12		regulator charged with regulating gaming in the
13		province?
14	А	No, I didn't. And, you know, that would have
15		been if I would have turned my mind to it,
16		I think, if someone, if anyone in law
17		enforcement or in the bureaucracy, in the
18		Solicitor General's ministry in particular, had
19		suggested that that might be something that
20		needed to be done. But, you know, my
21		understanding, my belief is that law
22		enforcement, both law enforcement and our
23		non-partisan civil service, felt that the system
24		at least in that respect was working as well as
25		it needed to and that government was not putting

1		revenue considerations ahead of stopping
2		criminal activity in casinos and that government
3		is, you know, pretty competent at balancing
4		those concerns wherever they might arise. You
5		know, certainly in the mining industry and the
6		forestry industry, both of them really important
7		job creators, really important revenue sources
8		for the province, but it's also vital that they
9		be properly regulated. So it's not an issue
10		with which government is unfamiliar.
11	Q	Thank you. Was it suggested to you at any point
12		that the Crown corporation BCLC and GPEB might
13		hold different perspectives on the issue of
14		suspicious cash in casinos?
15	А	No. I mean, I tension is sometimes good. I
16		mean, there's always a lot of disagreement in
17		government. You know, in the same ministry
18		there can be lots of disagreement. And, you
19		know, that's part of often finding the right
20		solutions to things. But in this case no, I
21		mean, I wasn't aware. If that was happening, I
22		wasn't aware of it.
23	Q	Okay. I'm going to ask you some questions now,
24		Ms. Clark, about the issue of suspicious cash
25		entering British Columbia casinos and the extent

1 to which you were aware of what may have been 2 happening. 3 During the time leading up to you taking 4 over the role of Premier, there was some 5 reasonably significant media coverage about the 6 issue of cash in casinos. Were you aware of 7 that coverage at the time? 8 Α Yes, yes. 9 Q And were you aware that the media was reporting that millions of dollars in suspicious cash, 10 predominantly \$20 bills, was going through Lower 11 12 Mainland casinos? 13 I don't remember that specifically in the Α 14 coverage. 15 Okay. Were you -- do you recall the coverage Q 16 suggesting that there may be money laundering 17 happening in British Columbia casinos? 18 Yes. Α 19 Okay. And do you recall the media providing Q 20 examples of buy-ins in hundreds of thousands of dollars in \$20 bills? 21 22 Maybe. I mean, I'm sure it was -- I would Α 23 have -- I would have seen it if I had been 24 reading the stories, which I was. I just can't 25 recall it specifically.

1	Q	What level of concern did you have about what
2		was being reported in the media?
3	А	Well, I was very concerned about it. I mean,
4		money laundering is a significant problem. And
5		it happens inside casinos and it happens outside
6		casinos and it fuels organized crime. And
7		organized crime can have, you know, horrific
8		impacts, you know, in hundreds of different
9		ways, but specifically in the fact that gangs
10		like we're seeing this week in Vancouver are
11		responsible for public killings that can affect,
12		you know, innocent bystanders. So I mean, yes,
13		money laundering is an issue in British
14		Columbia. No question about it. And we were
15		concerned about it. And that's why we
16		commissioned the Kroeker Report. That's why we
17		implemented it. That's why we created the joint
18		task force, you know, the cross agency task
19		force, which I think is working well, and the
20		other changes that we made kind of outside the
21		Kroeker Report. So there was a lot of
22		government made a significant effort to address
23		money laundering, and I think you're seeing the
24		fruits of that certainly in the work that JIGIT
25		is doing now. I saw in the paper that they'd

1		taken credit for some significant arrests
2		recently, which I thought was, you know, a good,
3		a really showed good progress.
4	Q	Did you take any steps to determine whether
5		these claims being reported in the media in 2011
6		were accurate?
7	А	Probably not specifically. But I but you
8		know, I mean, I knew money laundering was a
9		problem, and I knew that government was acting
10		on it, and I knew that it was in the letters of
11		expectation for the agency for BCLC and I knew
12		that it was in the service plan for the relevant
13		ministries. And I knew law enforcement was
14		working on it. So, you know, I'm sure some of
15		it was accurate. Maybe all of it was accurate.
16		And I knew that we were acting on it. So, you
17		know, good for them. They should be reporting
18		on those kinds of issues and government should
19		be responding, which we did.
20	Q	Okay. Aside from the mandate letters which you
21		issued and the letters of expectation and
22		service plans which I gather from your evidence
23		you saw or at least oversaw to some extent, can
24		you point to any steps that you took in the wake
25		of this media reporting to ensure that these

1		concerns were investigated and addressed?
2	А	Well, we commissioned the we acted on the
3		Kroeker Report certainly. And, you know, that
4		was important. And then the creation of the
5		joint task force was another notable the
6		Minister of Finance at the time and I had a
7		discussion about that, and he created it in
8		very, very short order after that discussion.
9		He found the money for it and he made sure it
10		was funded and staffed appropriately and it's
11		turned out to be a big success, I think.
12	Q	Are you referring to JIGIT?
13	А	Yeah.
14	Q	Okay. And what's your understanding of when
15		JIGIT was initiated?
16	А	2015, I believe. It had been underway it was
17		recommended in the Kroeker Report, which we
18		received in August 2011, and at the time GPEB,
19		the Gaming Policy Enforcement Branch, issued
20		their response to it accepting all of the
21		recommendations, and in that they set out their
22		plan for getting it done. And so the cross
23		agency task force was something it was sort
24		of the last phase of the project, because, you
25		know, when you're involving the RCMP nationally

1		and locally as well as as well as municipal
2		departments in places like Vancouver that are
3		also the host of casinos and have their own law
4		enforcement, you know, it took a little bit of
5		time to put all that together, I think, and then
6		the minister executed again executed on that
7		in 2015. So it has been operational now for six
8		years.
9	Q	Are you suggesting that JIGIT was instigated by
10		the Kroeker Report?
11	А	Well, JIGIT was part of the I mean, it was a
12		joint cross agency task force, and GPEB was
13		working on creating a cross agency task force,
14		and then JIGIT was created. So I wouldn't say
15		that they're unrelated.
16	Q	Okay. The Kroeker Report came out in 2011,
17		JIGIT was initiated in 2015. If this was an
18		issue of significant concern can you assist the
19		Commissioner in understanding why the delay.
20	А	Well, I don't think it wasn't a delay. I
21		don't think and I think all of the things
22		that Mr. Kroeker talked about were of
23		significant concern. I wouldn't say that you
24		know, some of them were necessarily less
25		important than others. You know, for example,

1		making sure that staff were properly trained to
2		be more diligent in reporting suspicious
3		transactions. That's really a vital part of the
4		work to be done. Making sure that BCLC and GPEB
5		staff were in casinos more often and in greater
6		numbers. That was really important, too.
7		So what I would say is when looking back at
8		the report that GPEB made, the Gaming Policy
9		Enforcement Branch made in response to the
10		Kroeker Report, one of the things they note in
11		that is that the recommendation that would take
12		the longest to implement because, you know, and
13		I'm just and I'm assuming because of the
14		complexity of bringing all the agencies
15		together and the last one that they were
16		really going to really probably get done would
17		be the cross agency task force. So I
18		wouldn't I wouldn't accept the assumption
19		that it was delayed.
20	Q	Okay. Were you involved in any discussions or
21		deliberations about a decision to not implement
22		the cross agency working group pardon me,
23		cross agency task force because of concerns
24		about the costs of that?
25	А	No.

1	Q	So if that was a consideration that was
2		underway, that was something you were up aware
3		of?
4	А	To not do it?
5	Q	To not do it or to delay it because of concerns
6		about cost or complexity.
7	А	No.
8	Q	Okay. Can you assist us at all, then and
9		I've asked you this question, and maybe I'll ask
10		it again in a different way can you assist us
11		at all why a recommendation that was made by
12		Mr. Kroeker in 2011 didn't come to fruition
13		until 2015?
14	А	Well, I think I've tried to answer that question
15		already, Mr. McGowan. And, you know, really, to
16		say money laundering was a big a real concern
17		for our government, and, you know, we made sure
18		that the Kroeker Report was implemented. We
19		took action, and I think significant action, to
20		address it. And the JIGIT task force was
21		created as a cross agency task force, which is
22		what Mr. Kroeker recommended. And as I said,
23		GPEB did note when they issued their first
24		response to that report that it was going to
25		take a little bit longer to get that last one

1		done and my assumption is just because of the
2		complexity of bringing all those agencies
3		together. Because there is no doubt that the
4		number of agencies that are involved in
5		enforcement and sometimes probably the
6		competition between them and the competing
7		priorities between them would have made fighting
8		money anti-money laundering a challenge
9		sometimes.
10	Q	Did you give direction to the ministers
11		responsible for gaming during your time about
12		the extent to which you ought to be briefed on
13		money laundering developments and the extent to
14		which they were having success combatting those
15		concerns?
16	А	Well, I didn't need to. I saw the service
17		plans. I saw the reports, the progress. My
18		deputy was regularly, daily, reporting to me on
19		what was happening across government, and, you
20		know, where we were falling short and where we
21		were exceeding expectations, where we were
22		meeting them. So there's you know, as I said
23		at the beginning there's just a constant flow of
24		information back and forth between agencies and
25		individuals in government. And so, you know, it

1		was in their letter of expectation. They set
2		out their plan for doing that at BCLC
3		specifically but also GPEB, they had their job
4		to do, and Solicitor General Ministry and law
5		enforcement across the province. So, it you
6		know, that was it was underway. You know, we
7		were taking action to get this done and regular
8		reporting was happening across government.
9	Q	Was it reported to you that the plan that was
10		undertaken to combat concerns about money
11		laundering in casinos was succeeding, meeting
12		expectations, not meeting expectations?
13	А	Not neither. Neither, I would say. Not in a
14		verbal not verbally. However, I mean, in the
15		service plans you could see that, you know, over
16		time action was being taken. But I would also
17		note, though, too, that in the letters of
18		expectation that went to BCLC government did get
19		into more specificity and more detail, you know,
20		I think consistently in every one and all the
21		years that I was there. So now, you know, when
22		I look at the current plans, the letters of
23		expectation, you know, from this year and
24		previous years, they're not different in a
25		substantial way from the service plans that our

1 government produced. 2 Okay. Was it reported to you that suspicious Q 3 cash buy-ins were increasing at a significant 4 rate year over year from 2011 to 2015? It was reported to me in 2015 that the 5 Α 6 suspicious activity -- there had been a spike in 7 reports of suspicious activity, which is why 8 Minister de Jong was in such a rush to make sure that JIGIT was created. 9 10 Was that the first you heard of an increase from Q 2011? 11 12 It was the first time I heard about it, yeah, Α 13 from within government. But you know, and I 14 would say this too: I think, you know, it was 15 very concerning, which is why we took swift 16 action and significant action on it, but also, 17 though, people around government believed that it was also on the other side a confirmation 18 19 that the training that BCLC and government had 20 introduced into casinos was working, that we 21 were seeing also more reports, there was 22 certainly more diligence underway and so there's 23 a lot of downside in hearing that there's a 24 spike in suspicious activity, but I think there

was also a sense that all right, some of the

1		things, that some of the changes that government
2		has made have been effective.
3	Q	Was it drawn to your attention through the years
4		2012 to 2015 buy-ins in the hundreds of
5		thousands of dollars predominantly in \$20 bills
6		had become commonplace at Lower Mainland
7		casinos?
8	А	It wasn't.
9	Q	Okay. Did you know that patrons were regularly
10		buying in for six figures predominantly in
11		\$20 bills?
12	А	No.
13	Q	Had that been drawn to your attention, would
14		that have raised an alarm to you or a degree of
15		concern?
16	A	I can't it's hard to answer a question about
17		what might have happened. I could tell you what
18		did happen, though, which was, you know, there
19		was as I said, the Kroeker Report had some
20		significant recommendations for change, which we
21		made. Cross agency task force in JIGIT that we
22		created. We isolated chips to facilities. We
23		gave required that cheques were issued that
24		said they were not lottery winnings for winners.
25		We restricted the number of restricted the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

1	flow of money of exchange for small bills to
2	large bills. We allowed for temporary bans on
3	patrons while investigations were underway. We
4	were working on the transition to e-funds in
5	casinos. In addition to all the work that
6	Mr. Kroeker recommended.
7	So there was there were huge array of

So there was -- there were huge array of things government was working on to try and stem this -- to stem this problem. But I do think the most significant thing was ultimately the creation of the joint task force, which, you know, it seems to have gone a long way to reducing the overlap and the confusion and the competition between the agencies that work in law enforcement across the province. It's 70 percent funded by BCLC, so by government sources. And it's a good example of, you know, government doesn't set priorities, day-to-day priorities for law enforcement. The provincial government doesn't do that and we certainly don't do that with the RCMP. But we can influence those. And one of -- in my experience the most important way that government can influence the priorities of local law enforcement and the RCMP is to create and fund

1		task forces, so whether it's organizations
2		like whether it's guns and gangs or IHIT,
3		those or JIGIT. Those are all organizations
4		that the province pushed to create and got
5		created and were funded principally by the
6		province in order to try and get law enforcement
7		focused and coordinated on priorities that we
8		felt they should be pursuing
9	Q	You told the Commissioner about having what you
10		described as a spike in suspicious activity
11		drawn to your attention in 2015. From 2011
12		until this spike was drawn to your attention,
13		did you have the impression from your
14		conversations with your ministers that the issue
15		of suspicious cash entering casinos was being
16		adequately addressed and was under control until
17		that point?
18	А	Well, I had that impression from kind of the
19		entire system from the civil service. And I had
20		that impression from the service plans that we
21		were seeing and the reporting back that we were
22		seeing on the commitments that they'd made.
23		But, you know, I knew more needed to be done
24		because I was you know, in the service plans
25		in the letters of expectation, we were getting

1		into a lot more detail about what needed to
2		happen, which generally suggests that more needs
3		to happen. And I also knew that the other work
4		would continue to be underway. So, you know, I
5		knew that the problem hadn't yet been solved, if
6		that's what you're asking, because otherwise we
7		would have, I guess, sort of say okay, done,
8		let's move on, and we didn't. We kept working
9		at it; we kept taking action throughout the
10		years that I was there.
11	Q	You of course signed the gaming portfolio to
12		various ministers and I gather they had primary
13		responsibility for overseeing the issue of
14		suspicious cash in casinos in your mind.
15	A	Well, they had they had primary
16		responsibility for overseeing that government,
17		all of government's requirements were met.
18	Q	Okay. Aside from the letters of expectation and
19		service plans you told us about, can you point
20		to any step that you as Premier took to ensure
21		that the issue of suspicious cash in casinos and
22		money laundering more generally was being
23		addressed by your government?
24	A	Well, I mean, we talked a little bit about this
25		before, you know, making sure that the Kroeker

1		Report was fully implemented, you know, revised
2		the buy-in, cash-out policies that we had, moved
3		to E funding, changed the policies so that staff
4		don't assume that because somebody's losing
5		they're not laundering money. You know, that
6		long list of thing, and then the creation of the
7		joint task force. I mean, me and Minister de
8		Jong specifically spoke about that and, you
9		know, as I said, we got it done and I think it's
10		made a difference. I think all of the things
11		actually that we did around gaming, the Kroeker
12		Report, the other changes that I've talked about
13		and the creation of JIGIT, I think, have made a
14		significant difference.
15	Q	Okay. And you talk about some of these programs
16		that were undertaken, and I gather they were
17		undertaken within the gaming portfolio by either
18		BCLC or GPEB under the direction of the minister
19		responsible for gaming.
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	What I'm trying to understand is whether you as
22		Premier gave went beyond the mandate letter
23		or the service plans and gave specific
24		directions either to your minister or those
25		agencies to ensure that the issue of money

1		laundering was given sufficient priority and was
2		adequately addressed.
3	А	I said, get it done. And I knew that it had
4		been addressed or it was being adequately
5		addressed because it was so prominent in the
6		letters of expectation and the service plans.
7		You know, it wasn't a buried detail in any of
8		those things. So when I said get it done, I
9		knew that they were getting it done because they
10		were they were following up. And I also knew
11		that in the reporting back they weren't
12		government wasn't identifying issues, which they
13		certainly would have if it had if that action
14		hadn't been taken and wasn't being observed.
15	Q	Okay. You said you said get it done. Who did
16		you say that to?
17	A	Well, I said that to every minister about all of
18		their ministries. I mean, you know, the thing
19		is, Mr. McGowan, as I said, ministers know that
20		they don't that it's an honour to serve and
21		they serve at the you know, it's kind of an
22		old-fashioned thing, but at the pleasure of the
23		Premier. And I didn't have a lot of patience
24		for ministers who weren't getting their job
25		done.

1	Q	Okay. And you told the commission that you knew
2		they were getting it done. By that do you mean
3		that they were adequately addressing the issue
4		of suspicious cash in casinos?
5	A	Well, I think they were addressing it. And they
6		were they were taking the advice of the
7		experts and making sure that that advice was
8		implemented. And, you know, that to me is an
9		important part of making sure someone is doing
10		their job.
11	Q	Okay. Were you made aware by your ministers or
12		anyone else that surveillance at Lower Mainland
13		casinos suggested that some high-level players
14		were having hundreds of thousands of dollars in
15		\$20 bills delivered to them, sometimes late at
16		night or early in the morning, either on or near
17		the properties of casinos and were using those
18		funds to buy in at British Columbia casinos?
19	A	No. And, you know, I have to say the daily work
20		of law enforcement wasn't something that I was
21		engaged in. As I said, what government does is
22		set the rules, makes sure everybody observes
23		those rules and, you know, law enforcement sets
24		its own priorities except where government is
25		able to successfully influence them. But, you

1		know, law enforcement did its job, and those
2		wouldn't necessarily be the kinds of things that
3		a Premier is advised about on a daily basis.
4	Q	If you had been told that somebody was dropping
5		off a shopping bag at midnight containing
6		\$200,000 in \$20 bills and that was then being
7		accepted by a service provider, would that have
8		been something you thought was appropriate?
9	А	I can't tell you what might have happened. I
10		can only just tell you that we, I mean,
11		recognized it was a serious problem in the
12		province and that we wanted, that we were taking
13		action to deal with it. Which we did.
14	Q	Okay. Well, if your minister had told you this
15		is happening, would you have let that continue,
16		or would you have intervened to or raise some
17		concern about that?
18	А	Yeah, well, I mean, again, I can't answer
19		questions about what might have happened, but
20		you know, the work that we I can say that we
21		took significant action in the years that I was
22		there, and, you know, I think confirmation of
23		its effectiveness is that the current government
24		is continuing with those actions that we
25		undertook.

Well, I know you've said you can't answer what 1 0 2 might have been, but I do want you to try to 3 assist the Commissioner by telling him what 4 degree of concern would you have had had you been told that shopping bags of \$20 bills and 5 6 hundreds of thousands of dollars were being 7 dropped off and accepted by service providers at 8 Lower Mainland casinos.

Ensuring we lived in a civil society where 9 Α 10 people were safe and where the rules were observed and respected was a central part of our 11 12 government's promise to the people of British 13 Columbia. And so, you know, I'm not of the --14 I'm not of the school that says some crime is 15 worse necessarily than other crime. I think all 16 crime needs to be addressed appropriately. And, 17 you know, so if -- you know, one of the issues 18 that we were dealing with a lot was gang 19 violence and shootings, and the sense that the 20 huge impact that that had on not just people's 21 perception of their safety, but their actual, 22 the safety of citizens. And, Mr. McGowan, I 23 wouldn't be someone who said one gang shooting 24 is something that we would have paid a little 25 bit of attention to and 10 gang shootings is

1		something we would have paid a lot of attention
2		to. Money laundering was is a real, very
3		serious problem in British Columbia, and, you
4		know, whatever at whatever level it needs to
5		be dealt with seriously by law enforcement and
6		by the relevant agencies in government. And,
7		you know, from my government's perspective, we
8		took action in response to that throughout the
9		time that I was there.
10	Q	I gather given your evidence that you were aware
11		at least by 2015 that there was a significant
12		number of transactions at Lower Mainland casinos
13		that were being reported by the service
14		providers or BCLC as either unusual or
15		suspicious.
16	А	Well, certainly I mean, we spoke about this
17		in 2015 that spike that the Minister of Finance
18		reported to me was a cause of, you know, serious
19		concern, evidence that the reporting was
20		happening, the diligence was being increased,
21		but also that the problem was apparently at an
22		all-time high. So I was, you know that was
23		how I heard about it and that was why we created
24		the joint task force on illegal gaming.
25	Q	Were you aware in the or did you understand

1		that in the vast majority of cases where funds
2		were reported as suspicious they were also
3		accepted by the service provider to buy in for
4		gaming?
5	А	No.
6	Q	That's not something that was discussed with you
7		by your ministers?
8	А	No.
9	Q	What did you think was happening to the funds
10		that were reported as suspicious?
11	А	I thought that they would be well, I know
12		that many of them were reported to FINTRAC and
13		to law enforcement, which is the requirement
14		that government sets out. So whether or not all
15		of them were wasn't something I was involved in,
16		but I certainly do know that many of them, that
17		many of those reports were made both to law
18		enforcement, to the RCMP, to local police and to
19		the federal government at FINTRAC.
20	Q	And what did you think was happening to the
21		funds that were reported as suspicious?
22	А	Well, I mean, law enforcement had a job to do in
23		ensuring that you know, at that point once
24		the report is made to law enforcement, law
25		enforcement's role in this is to investigate,

- find evidence and prosecute.
- 2 Q Okay. Did you think these funds that were being
- 3 reported as suspicious were refused or
- 4 ultimately accepted and contributed to the
- 5 revenue of the province?
- A Well, I mean, I think I've already answered that
- 7 question. My -- I knew that many, many reports
- 8 had been made. Whether or not all of the
- 9 reports were made or whether or not all the
- suspicious activity was refused or even tracked
- isn't a level of detail that I was engaged in.
- 12 Q Did you ever inquire whether these funds that
- were reported as suspicious were ultimately
- 14 accepted into casinos and subsequently funded
- 15 provincial revenue?
- 16 A Well, I think I've already answered that
- 17 question with my previous one. But I would say,
- 18 you know, again, all illegal activity in casinos
- has to be fought vigorously and diligently. And
- 20 so whether it's that or some other form of
- 21 illegal activity, it needs to be -- it needs to
- be fought. So I don't -- you know, I don't -- I
- can't really expand on that previous answer, I
- don't think, Mr. McGowan.
- Q Well, you keep saying you've answered the

1		question and with respect, I'm just not sure
2		that you have. It's a relatively simple one.
3		Did you ask whether this money that was reported
4		as suspicious was accepted by casinos and
5		ultimately gamed with and subsequently
6		contributed to the provincial revenue or whether
7		it was refused? Did you make that inquiry?
8	А	I didn't. I knew that we were going to what
9		we did instead is we created JIGIT. And because
10		we needed to make sure, ultimately the problem
11		was, I think, the remaining problem that needed
12		to be addressed was the lack of cooperation
13		between the agencies and ensuring that
14		enforcement, that reporting, enforcement,
15		operations, oversight were much better
16		integrated to make sure that all of those parts
17		were working together. And that was why JIGIT
18		was created in response to that. And that's why
19		JIGIT continues to this day and continues to
20		be continues to be successful. So, you know,
21		I think your question if your question is did
22		I do something about it, the answer is yes.
23	Q	My question wasn't whether you did something, it
24		was whether you asked if the money was accepted
25		or refused.

- 1 A Then I did answer that.
- 2 Q Okay. Were you aware that GPEB investigators
- had been raising concerns about the possibility
- 4 that at least some of these large cash buy-ins
- in 20s might be proceeds of crime since 2010 or
- 6 2011?
- 7 A Well, I mean, I wasn't -- as I said, I wasn't
- 8 being kept abreast of the large cash buy-ins and
- 9 the reports from law enforcement specifically
- and what law enforcement was doing in response
- 11 to that. You know, my -- my assumption was, and
- I think appropriately so, that when law
- enforcement received reports of suspicious
- transactions that law enforcement was acting on
- that, gathering evidence, you know, proving
- their case and then prosecuting it.
- 17 Q Did you ever have a discussion with any of the
- 18 ministers responsible for gaming or anyone else
- about the possibility of instituting a cap on
- the quantity of cash that could be used to buy
- in at British Columbia casinos?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q Did you ever have a discussion with one of your
- responsible ministers or anyone else about
- implementing a requirement that cash be sourced

1 prior to acceptance? 2 Α No. 3 Were you ever advised of a degree of friction Q 4 that existed by at least certain departments in 5 BCLC and GPEB? 6 Α No. 7 Q Were you aware that during your time as Premier 8 the bet limit rose on high-limit gaming to the point where a single player could wager up to a 9 10 \$100,000 on a single hand of baccarat? 11 Α No. 12 Are you surprised to learn that somebody could Q 13 bet that much on a single hand? 14 Well, I have to say I don't know a lot about --Α 15 I don't gamble. So I don't know what's normal 16 in a casino. So -- but, you know, again, you 17 know, Mr. McGowan, I wasn't -- I wasn't involved 18 in the day-to-day operations of ministries and 19 of Crown corporations, and so it's not something 20 a Premier would normally be advised about, I 21 don't expect, because there are so -- you know, 22 the Premier is sitting atop 20 ministries, each 23 of which have tons of really important issues, 24 and not everything can be reported or is

reported back directly to the Premier. But what

Christy Clark (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McGowan

1		the Premier can do is set in place plans and
2		charge each of her ministers with meeting those
3		plans, and then rest assured if you have a if
4		you've got great deputies, which we did, that
5		the progress in meeting or failing to meet those
6		plans would be reported back. That's really how
7		the system works. Rather than a day-to-day kind
8		of management. Because the system wouldn't work
9		if the Premier was managing every single
10		ministry and every element of law enforcement.
11	Q	Did you ever have any discussions with your
12		responsible ministers about the advisability of
13		engaging in these high-limit games with limits
14		up in the hundred thousand dollar range in the
15		context of an industry that was still very much
16		driven by cash or funded by cash?
17	А	No, I didn't. You know, again, I mean, we had
18		the actions that we'd taken. I think they were
19		significant actions that were underway. Yeah,
20		so I'll leave it at that.
21	Q	We've touched on this a bit, but I want to give
22		you an opportunity to address the Commissioner
23		on whether you ever turned your mind to the
24		possibility that proceeds of crime might be
25		being used to buy in at British Columbia casinos

1		and in turn be contributing to the province's
2		revenue?
3	А	Well, I wasn't you know, I have to say I
4		wouldn't have framed it that way in my mind.
5		The way I framed it was illegal activity in BC
6		casinos is a big problem, and, you know,
7		remember when previous government, a previous
8		government long ago created the gaming industry
9		in British Columbia and decided that it would be
10		government run, it did so with the
11		understanding, you know, with the intention that
12		if it was run by the government that it would be
13		better regulated than if it was just run in the
14		private sector. You know, sort of I imagine
15		they thought it would be sort of a similar
16		approach to having government sell liquor, that
17		if government is selling liquor, which also has
18		a lot of social harms attached to it, that there
19		would be better regulation, ability for
20		regulation on it.
21		So, you know, that's sort of that was
22		the approach with gaming, to see to make sure
23		that that regulation was done and well done, but
24		the idea was never to try and get revenue at the
25		expense of public safety or public confidence in

our casino system. Stopping crime, stopping 1 2 money laundering was always a primary concern 3 way over and above the revenue that came from BC 4 lotteries. 5 Okay. Did you ever have discussions with any of Q 6 the ministers responsible for gaming about how 7 to manage the potentially competing pressures of 8 maximizing revenue versus minimizing the risk of 9 proceeds entering British Columbia casinos, 10 proceeds of crime? That discussion was never necessary because, you 11 Α 12 know, we were very clear amongst ourselves and I 13 was very -- you know, all of our ministers ran 14 on two principles that I've already talked 15 about, one that we were profoundly concerned 16 about public safety and controlling crime in 17 British Columbia. That was central to kind of 18 the -- it's central to the identity of who we all were. And second, we were not a government 19 20 that was primarily interested in always trying 21 to just get more revenue. That's really not who 22 we were. And we were -- we thought a lot about 23 trying to constrain the growth of government to 24 try and make sure that government was working 25 efficiently for people. And, you know, it's

1		kind of if you any political party, any
2		government is going to really choose one of the
3		two sides of that coin. And we weren't pushing
4		Crown corporations to constantly be producing
5		more revenue the way that some previous
6		governments have. We were focusing on trying to
7		make sure the government costs didn't the
8		size of government didn't require tons more
9		revenue instead.
10	Q	Okay. You've referred a few times to the
11		implementation of measures recommended by
12		Mr. Kroeker in his report. From the time that
13		report came out in 2011 up to 2015 when you say
14		you learned of a spike in suspicious
15		reporting reporting of suspicious activity,
16		did you have the impression that the
17		implementation of the Kroeker measures was
18		having the desired effect in respect of limiting
19		money laundering in casinos?
20	А	I did.
21	Q	Did any of your ministers come to you between
22		the implementation of the Kroeker Report in 2015
23		and suggest to you that the measures being
24		pursued by BCLC and GPEB were not sufficiently
25		addressing the problem?

1	А	Well, no, not until 2015 when the Minister of
2		Finance, who was responsible for gaming, came to
3		me and said hey, we have a problem that's been
4		reported to me and I want to do more. So we
5		talked about it and that was how the how
6		JIGIT ended up being created.
7	Q	You're referring to Minister de Jong coming to
8		you in 2015?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	And prior to that had any of the ministers
11		responsible for gaming ever suggested to you
12		that the risk that proceeds were entering
13		British Columbia's casinos in significant
14		quantities has risen to an unacceptable level?
15	А	No. I wasn't aware of that, or I wasn't told
16		about that.
17	Q	You've referred to the creation of JIGIT, and I
18		gather this followed Minister de Jong, from the
19		evidence you've given followed Minister de Jong
20		coming to you and raising concern in 2015.
21	А	M'mm-hmm.
22	Q	At that time did your government or you turn its
23		mind to whether a unit focused solely on the
24		gaming industry perhaps had too narrow a focus
25		and whether it might be more advisable if you

1		were creating a unit focused on combatting at
2		least in part of money laundering that it have a
3		broader focus than just gaming?
4	А	Well, I was I was satisfied to accept the
5		recommendation that the ministries put forward,
6		and, you know, partly because they're the
7		experts in it, not me, but also it was done in
8		consultation with the ministry for Solicitor
9		General and Attorney General. Minister de Jong
10		brought the ministries together to have that
11		discussion, and so the output would have
12		certainly reflected their feedback on that. And
13		remember though, too, we were in a rush to get
14		it done, and my recollection is that Minister de
15		Jong brought all the ministries together,
16		identified the focus, found the funding for it
17		within about two weeks of those suspicious
18		reports finding their way to his desk. So, you
19		know, it's possible if you're asking could it be
20		improved upon, I'll leave that to the experts.
21		I think but you know, looking back, I
22		would say it was really very important that
23		rather than trying to make sure that it was
24		perfect, we needed to make sure that it was
25		done, knowing that these that an agency like

Q

that, once it's created, its focus can be 1 2 adjusted over time. 3 I gather given the urgency in your mind and the Q 4 mind of Minister de Jong you essentially had the 5 funding sorted, the unit mapped out and the initiation underway within a few weeks? 6 7 Α Well, you say "me." I mean them. 8 Yes. Q 9 Α But they did a good job of it, I think, yeah. 10 But that was -- that really quite large task was Q 11 undertaken with really a significant degree of 12 haste over the period of a few weeks in 2015? Well, yes and no. Yes, it was undertaken at the 13 Α 14 ministerial level very quickly, the funding was 15 found, the ministers were brought together, but 16 remember, the Gaming Policy Enforcement Branch 17 had identified a cross agency task force out of the work that Mr. Kroeker had done back in 18 19 August 2011. And they'd been working on it. So 20 my assumption, Mr. McGowan, is that much -- some 21 of that work had been done or a significant amount of that work had been done because it had 22 23 been in the planning process. That's my 24 understanding of it.

Are you aware of any impediment that would have

1 prevented this hasty action to gather funding 2 and map out the unit in 2011? 3 No, I don't. Α 4 I guess what I'm asking is --Q 5 But as I've already said to you, though, you Α 6 know, my best guess is the impediment would be 7 bringing all those agencies together. So one of 8 the other recommendations of Mr. Kroeker's 9 report was a restructuring of -- and the change 10 of culture at GPEB so that the Gaming Policy Enforcement Branch was building more informal 11 12 contacts, had more regular conduits of 13 information flowing both ways between law 14 enforcement and itself. And, you know, so I'm 15 going to guess that GPEB probably understood, 16 and I think rightly so, that they needed to make 17 sure that that work got done first to kind of 18 build those relationships before the formal --19 before the creation of the formal task force. 20 Okay. Had it been deemed sufficiently urgent Q 21 and important is there any reason the funding that was found in 2015 couldn't have been found 22 23 in 2011? 24 Of course it could have been -- funding for any Α 25 priority in government can be found reasonably

quickly where there's a will, and in this case
as soon as that report of suspicious activity
reached the minister's desk, he was -- he was
willful to make sure that it got done. Two weeks
is -- as I'm sure many of the commission counsel
will know -- is lightning speed in government
time to have done that.

So but, you know, I don't want to suggest

So but, you know, I don't want to suggest that the ministry, that the folks at GPEB hadn't been doing their job. They'd identified the cross agency task force as a priority, but they knew that it needed to be planned properly and put together properly, and they also had the recommendation for Mr. Kroeker that they really had work to do in building relationships with law enforcement across the province. So my guess is that that's what they were spending those — that time doing and why they identified this one as the last phase of the Kroeker Report implementation.

Q Okay. Did somebody report to you that that's why it was deemed to be the last phase of Kroeker implementation, or is this something you're surmising from your understanding of the circumstances?

1	А	Well, it was always referred to as phase 3.
2	Q	Okay. We've spoken a fair bit about the issue
3		of you know, the possibility of proceeds
4		entering British Columbia casinos and money
5		laundering in British Columbia casinos, but I
6		want to ask you about the issue of money
7		laundering in the province more broadly. Was
8		money laundering in the Province of British
9		Columbia outside of the gaming industry an issue
10		of any note or priority for your government
11		during your time as Premier?
12	А	Well, I think I mean, our government was
13		really concerned with guns and gangs as well as
14		money laundering, and they're not unrelated. As
15		you say, money laundering doesn't just happen in
16		casinos, it happens outside casinos. And over
17		the you know, over the years there had been
18		much discussion about money laundering on the
19		parts of other criminal gangs that have lived in
20		the province a long time, and so yeah, I mean,
21		it was part of our you know, gangs and gang
22		activity was certainly an important part of our
23		government's anti-crime agenda.
24	Q	I guess what I'm asking is was money laundering
25		as a standalone issue an issue of priority that

Α

Yes.

was considered or discussed at the cabinet level 1 2 aside from as it related to the gaming industry? 3 Α Well, gangs were, and, you know, under that --4 under the big title of -- big subject of gangs, 5 money laundering is certainly part of that. Can you identify any action undertaken by your 6 Q government to investigate or address the issue 7 8 of money laundering specifically in the province 9 aside from as it touches on the gaming industry? 10 Well, we were trying to put gangsters in jail. Α 11 That helps. 12 Okay. Anything else you can point to? Q Well, in casinos the creation of JIGIT, the 13 Α 14 creation of the joint task force, the organized 15 crime task force, which, again, was a provincial 16 initiative that, you know -- so that's guns and 17 gangs. They worked on money -- they had money 18 laundering also in their responsibilities. It 19 just -- you know, JIGIT needed to be created to 20 ensure that there was even more focus on what 21 was going on in casinos, but the organized crime group, cross agency group was dealing with that 22 23 certainly as well before JIGIT. 24 You're referring to CFSEU? Q

1	Q	And you understood them to have a specific
2		mandate to investigate money laundering?
3	А	Well, the organized if you look at the things
4		that the organized crime agency of British
5		Columbia deals with, money laundering is on that
6		list.
7	Q	And did you understand that organization to be
8		during your time as Premier engaged in the
9		investigation of money laundering in the
10		Province of British Columbia?
11	А	Well, yeah. M'mm-hmm.
12	Q	Okay. And from where did you get that
13		understanding?
14	А	Because it's on the it's on their list of
15		it's on their mandate. It's part of their
16		mandate. But what I did come to realize,
17		Mr. McGowan, was that, you know, because we
18		needed to create the fact that we needed to
19		create an additional task force that was
20		specifically focused on money laundering in
21		casinos suggests that, you know, there wasn't
22		enough focus going on to money laundering. And
23		I don't mean that, you know, from the organized
24		crime agency, and I don't mean that in any way
25		to suggest that they weren't doing their job,

1		but it is an example of how in any organization
2		if there are a lot of priorities, sometimes, you
3		know, some priorities get more focus than
4		others. And I do think the organized crime
5		agency did a great job of fighting guns and
6		gangs. I think they did you know, they
7		worked really hard to try and put gangsters in
8		jail. You know, and to the extent that they did
9		that, that would have had an impact on money
10		laundering. Fewer gangsters means less money
11		laundering. But it wasn't enough focus, and
12		that's why JIGIT was created. And of course, as
13		you know, JIGIT now operates underneath that
14		umbrella of those other organizations.
15	Q	And do you know whether there were any money
16		laundering prosecutions in the Province of
17		British Columbia during your time as Premier?
18	А	I don't think you know, I don't know. There
19		may have been prosecutions. I don't know if
20		there were any successful ones, though.
21	Q	Okay. I want to ask you during your time
22	А	Mr. McGowan, I will say I will say the
23		administration of justice, you know, the courts
24		aren't an area where the provincial government
25		directs. And the court system is properly

1		entirely separate from political priorities and
2		political direction. So, you know, what
3		happened on that side of the ledger isn't
4		something I would argue that the province should
5		have been interfering in, and we didn't.
6	Q	You're referring to the court system?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Yes. And the court system of course takes the
9		cases that are brought to it?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	During your time as Premier, do you know whether
12		your government took any steps to investigate
13		whether housing prices in British Columbia might
14		have been influenced by the by possible
15		illicit proceeds being parked in the BC real
16		estate market?
17	А	Yeah, I can. Just to finish the answer to that
18		last to your last comment.
19	Q	Please.
20	А	It's true of course the courts do take the cases
21		that are brought to it. And whether or not
22		those are money laundering specifically or
23		whether or not they deal with the individuals,
24		gangsters who have been dealing with money
25		laundering, it all ends up it ends up in the

1		court system, if it ends up in the court system
2		at all, as you inferred, I think. And so the
3		investigation of those crimes also, though,
4		properly sits with law enforcement. And
5		collecting evidence, finding finding
6		suspects, collecting evidence against them and
7		then putting together a prosecution is the work
8		that law enforcement does. And, again, that's
9		not an area where government is directly
10		involved and setting day-to-day priorities. So,
11		you know, the government can through GPEB find
12		evidence, identify evidence. BCLC can identify
13		evidence and can refer that to law enforcement
14		and hopefully law enforcement will make sure it
15		finds its way into the courts.
16		I apologize. Can you ask me your question
17		again.
18	Q	Yes. At any time if you want to add something
19		to an answer or circle back to something, just
20		let me know. I'm happy to give you that
21		opportunity.
22	A	You've been patient about it so far. Thank you.
23	Q	What I was asking is whether to your knowledge
24		your government took any steps during your time
25		as Premier to investigate whether housing prices

1		in British Columbia might have been influenced
2		by possible illicit proceeds being parked in the
3		British Columbia real estate market.
4	А	I can say no one from law enforcement, from the
5		Solicitor General's ministry, from the Minister
6		of Finance, from anywhere in government or law
7		enforcement ever suggested that the rise in
8		housing prices was as a result of money
9		laundering. I mean, it was pretty I mean, we
10		had a strongest economy in Canada. We had the
11		best job growth numbers in Canada. We had
12		people had more take home pay because we had the
13		lowest taxes in Canada and it's a beautiful
14		place to live. We had huge amounts of in
15		migration from across the country and we had to
16		a lesser extent immigration from other
17		countries. For all of those reasons, plus
18		interest rates were very, very low. So those
19		were the reasons that, you know, I believe that
20		the housing market was going up. And, you know,
21		this is still a beautiful place to live, and
22		even in a pandemic we've seen now housing prices
23		have gotten even more out of control. The
24		crisis has gotten even worse in the last four
25		years. So, you know, I think that there are

1 I mean, there are very significant factors at 2 play. You know, in our case it was a very strong economy, a lot of jobs and therefore a 3 4 lot of immigration combined with low interest 5 rates. Thank you. During your time as Premier, the 6 Q 7 realtors in this province lost the privilege of 8 self-governing themselves -- self-governing. 9 Are you able to assist the Commissioner in 10 understanding whether that move was in any way 11 related to compliance with AML requirements? 12 It was related primarily to the way that some Α 13 unscrupulous realtors were handling contract 14 assignments. So a contract assignment -- I 15 don't need to explain this to you but perhaps to 16 other observers of the process. You know, a 17 contract assignment would happen in the hands of 18 an unscrupulous realtor, they would sell a home 19 for whatever price, they would then assign the 20 contract and then resell it shortly thereafter, 21 reaping the commission on both and perhaps 22 taking some of the profit when the original --23 when really that added profit should have gone 24 back, in my mind, to the original owner of the 25 property. Contract assignment exists for all

1	kinds of good and proper purposes in the law,
2	but this was very unethical, and, you know,
3	being a self-regulated profession is a privilege
4	that you earn, and we didn't feel that there was
5	proper you know, that the real estate
6	association has shown proper oversight in this,
7	so we took away their right to self-regulation.
8	I mean, it was one of a whole range of things
9	that we did. So the Superintendent of Real
10	Estate was appointed. Self-regulation ended.
11	Contract assignment was very carefully
12	regulated, you know, in appropriate cases so
13	that owners of homes would be required to get
14	the extra money if a contract assignment had
15	been made and somebody made an additional profit
16	on it. We brought in a luxury tax on homes over
17	\$2 million. We brought in the first foreign
18	buyers tax in North America. We built almost
19	or we planned to build almost a billion dollars
20	in affordable housing. We enabled the vacancy
21	tax in the province. You know, there was a long
22	range of things that we did, including
23	encouraging municipal governments to try and
24	improve their approval processes so more housing
25	could be built. Removing the PTT on some homes,

1		on new homes as an exemption. So, you know,
2		there was a long range of things that we did
3		both on the supply side and the demand side and
4		on the tax side to try and make sure that we
5		were confronting this housing crisis which, you
6		know, we certainly did have an impact for a
7		time, but now we see housing prices five years
8		later far worse and far more expensive than they
9		were then. It really does show, you know, how
10		entrenched and difficult this problem is to deal
11		with.
12	Q	I'm going to ask you a few questions about law
13		enforcement in the province. Prior to you
14		becoming Premier, the Integrated Proceeds of
15		Crime Unit in British Columbia was disbanded.
16		Were you aware of this at the time you became
17		Premier?
18	А	No.
19	Q	Were you ever briefed on what the disbanding of
20		this unit did to the priority afforded to or the
21		capacity on the part of law enforcement to
22		investigate proceeds of crime or money
23		laundering cases?
24	А	Well, I knew that, I mean, there was an
25		organized crime agency in British Columbia that

1		existed and I knew that, you know, there was
2		or that GPEB existed and that they were working
3		across the piece. You know, and Mr. Kroeker,
4		remember, Mr. Kroeker made his report not that
5		long after I was elected, and recommended a
6		cross agency task force. You know, in
7		retrospect my expectation would have been that
8		if he'd felt that that agency had needed to be
9		recreated he probably would have said that in
10		his report. But again, that's looking
11		retrospectively.
12	Q	Okay. Was it ever drawn to your attention that
13		for a number of years leading up to 2015 both
14		the British Columbia Lottery Corporation and
15		Gaming Policy and Enforcement Branch had been
16		making efforts to engage law enforcement on the
17		issue of suspicious cash in casinos and were
18		experiencing a degree of frustration because of
19		their perception that their call them lobbying
20		efforts were going unanswered?
21	А	Yeah, I think, you know, that was it was I
22		can't tell you, Mr. McGowan, how I necessarily
23		became aware of that, but it was certainly part
24		of the chatter around government that there
25		really was a shortage of coordination, and

Т	complaints in particular about FINTRAC and, you
2	know, I hesitate to offer this because it is
3	anecdotal, but the kind of chatter was that the
4	federal government's focus at FINTRAC had moved
5	and staffing and funding priorities had moved to
6	terrorism side of it and that rather than having
7	resources added to support the money laundering
8	side of it that money had just, you know, sort
9	of partly disappeared so that their capacity,
10	FINTRAC's capacity and attention for these
11	issues had really shrunk, which had and, you
12	know, remember, the thing about law enforcement
13	in the province, as I've said, is that British
14	Columbia government doesn't get to direct the
15	daily priorities of law enforcement. So in the
16	case of municipal departments that's set by
17	their own police boards locally and funded
18	locally as well from their tax base, but for the
19	RCMP it's a little even more complicated because
20	not only do they contract with their municipal
21	government and respond to some of those
22	priorities on a day-to-day basis as well, there
23	are also impacted by staffing changes, by
24	funding changes that are made in Ottawa. So
25	you've got FINTRAC on the one hand responding to

1		federal funding decisions, you know, and that's
2		a vital part of the process for money
3		laundering. You've got local RCMP also
4		responding to changes from Ottawa and trying to
5		manage what they're doing locally and you've got
6		municipal police forces doing the same thing.
7		So, you know, it's a multi-pronged effort, and
8		sometimes a little bit hard to corral and
9		certainly government recognized that and that's
10		why we created the joint task force, another
11		joint task force because we'd had success with
12		the other ones like IHIT and we'd also had some
13		success, I think, with, you know, seeing the
14		work that the organized crime agency of British
15		Columbia had done. Which was created by the way
16		by a previous government.
17	Q	Okay. I gather from your answer that you had
18		some awareness of the frustration on the part of
19		GPEB and BCLC about their perceived inability to
20		engage law enforcement on the issue of cash in
21		casinos prior to the
22	A	I just sorry, Mr. McGowan, I just I think
23		I would just add to say not specifically. I
24		don't think I said specifically that I heard
25		from GPEB and BCLC, but certainly the chatter

1		around government was, you know, was as I
2		described.
3	Q	Fair enough. As a result of that chatter which
4		rose to your level, prior to the creation of
5		JIGIT did you take any action to attempt to
6		influence the priority of law enforcement such
7		that they turned attention to this issue?
8	А	Well, we were doing that through the work at the
9		Kroeker Report. I mean, our hope was that the
10		reporting making sure that more suspicious
11		transactions were reported would you know,
12		and would mean that there would be more action
13		on the part of law enforcement. And I don't
14		want to suggest that that action didn't happen.
15		I'm sure that there was some response. I'm not
16		here to impugn any part of the process, but
17		clearly there wasn't enough going on.
18		Otherwise, the government wouldn't have had to
19		create JIGIT and might have chosen another path
20		for that. Because as you've said, it was very
21		specifically focused on casinos, is very
22		specifically focused on casinos. Which does
23		seem, you know, it's as you say, money
24		laundering happens outside of casinos as well,
25		but the narrow focus of the group does suggest

1		that they really you know, that, you know,
2		that work wasn't getting done and it really
3		needed to be laser focused.
4	Q	You've suggested to the Commissioner that
5		government doesn't direct law enforcement
6		priorities. But in your mind did government
7		have a role to play in influencing priorities to
8		ensure that the issues, law enforcement issues
9		of most concern to the citizens of the province
10		were being adequately addressed?
11	А	Yes, absolutely. I mean, provincial government
12		makes laws, there's that. And, you know, we
13		manage the contract with the RCMP. I mean,
14		that's a fairly long, distant connection, but
15		it's still there. But the government, I mean,
16		the government makes the laws and law
17		enforcement has a job to do in ensuring those
18		laws are respected. And then the courts have a
19		job to do in ensuring that people who break the
20		laws are punished. I mean, that's how the
21		system works.
22		Now, but it's where the rubber hits the
23		road where the day-to-day priorities get set.
24		And, you know, as I've said, the best way, you
25		know, I think we've discovered for government to

1		really help refocus those daily priorities are
2		the task forces. So the guns and gangs task
3		force is a really good example. The Province
4		had excellent cooperation with the RCMP,
5		particularly in Surrey but also in Vancouver
6		where these we were seeing more gang murders
7		happening, and that was generously funded by the
8		province. RCMP members and people in
9		detachments were eager to get to work on these,
10		to do this. We sort of we provided a vehicle
11		for everybody to get into and work together on
12		by making sure that the funding was there and
13		that the staffing was there as well.
14		So in these tasks forces you will you
15		know, in the JIGIT task force, for example,
16		there were some non-law enforcement people
17		involved in that who are policy people from
18		GPEB.
19	Q	Yes. To your perception, did the fact that
20		the much of the province is largely policed
21		by the RCMP, a federal force, hamper the
22		government's ability to influence law
23		enforcement priorities in the province?
24	А	I would say it adds a level of complexity. I
25		mean, it adds another layer. Partly because the

1		decisions that are made in Ottawa around funding
2		always flow downstream to detachments, and so it
3		certainly adds that complexity. Because it
4		really, it brings another very important player
5		into the system, into a system where there's
6		already a lot of players. But, you know, I
7		would say in defence of local RCMP detachments
8		in British Columbia, particular our work with
9		the folks in Surrey, they worked incredibly hard
10		to try and find the balance that they saw needed
11		to be met on the ground versus the kind of
12		distant funding directives and staffing changes
13		that would sometimes be imposed on them from
14		Ottawa.
15	Q	Did your government ever consider the
16		possibility of or investigate the possibility of
17		transitioning to a provincial police force to
18		enhance its ability to influence law enforcement
19		priorities?
20	А	It came up when we were talking about the RCMP
21		contract. Government was dissatisfied with some
22		of the service that the RCMP had been providing,
23		and there was some issues around a very, very
24		expensive building the RCMP had built, the RCMP
25		brass had built in Surrey that was largely or

1	was at least a third empty, and we were very
2	concerned about the failure of the folks in
3	Ottawa, what we felt was a failure of the folks
4	in Ottawa to really address the guns and gangs
5	issue at the time. So I guess the contract
6	would have come up in about 2011 or 2012,
7	probably, and so there was some discussion about
8	it.

But you know, first of all, local 9 10 governments were really pleased with the service 11 for the most part that they were getting from 12 their RCMP detachments, and they were the primary contractors with that. And, you know, I 13 14 kept in mind too that changing, going to a 15 provincial police force or to a Lower Mainland, 16 say a regional police force for the Lower 17 Mainland, would have been a hugely complicated 18 task, and at the time I was primarily interested 19 in getting the work done that needed to be done 20 on the streets to control crime, and I didn't 21 really -- I didn't want to do too much that 22 would disrupt the ability of law enforcement or 23 working on the streets every day to get that 24 work done because there's no doubt that the 25 transition from one to the other would have been

1		a massive and very disruptive process for
2		everybody. But I mean, there certainly were
3		arguments on both sides of it at the time. We
4		just ultimately decided not to do it then.
5	Q	Did your government turn its mind to or did you
6		obtain any information about the financial
7		implications of one approach versus the other?
8	А	It was yeah, we thought it would cost about
9		\$300 million at the time to do. My suspicion
10		would be it would be quite a bit more expensive.
11		But I can't you know, I didn't I didn't
12		we didn't investigate it to the extent that I
13		sat down and actually was able to see the
14		financial analysis that was done. And so I
15		can't tell you, Mr. McGowan, whether that
16		information was kind of a ballpark figure
17		provided by experts in the Ministry of Finance
18		or whether they created all that supporting
19		material and done the math. My suspicion is
20		probably no because we didn't it wasn't under
21		consideration for very long.
22	Q	Thank you. During your time as Premier were you
23		ever advised of an investigation on the topic of
24		the possibility of proceeds of crime being
25		sourced potentially from a money services

1 business and being used to buy into British Columbia casinos? 2 3 No. No, although I've seen it in the media Α 4 since. 5 Yes. Other than from the news were you provided Q any information about E-Pirate during your time 6 as Premier? 7 8 Α I wasn't. Were you aware that in 2015 or by 2015 the RCMP 9 Q had advised executives at the British Columbia 10 Lottery Corporation that they believed organized 11 12 crime was involved in providing proceeds to patrons to buy in at British Columbia casinos? 13 14 No, not specifically. But again, you know, the Α 15 work that was being done our government had 16 taken a whole range, long list of actions to 17 address money laundering, so I'm -- you know, my 18 assumption is that that work that we did would 19 have been helpful in helping police identify the 20 problems and collect evidence and prosecute 21 those problems and that BCLC would have been 22 being cooperative in that because that was their 23 direction from government. 24 I'd like to ask you just a couple of questions Q

on the topic of donations. During your time as

1 Premier, did your party accept donations from 2 gaming service providers in the Province of 3 British Columbia? 4 Only in the last two years that I was the Α Premier. Before that our party hadn't -- every 5 party in British Columbia has accepted gaming 6 7 proceeds -- sorry, gaming donations. Our party 8 stopped doing that in 2001, and we were the only 9 party in British Columbia that didn't accept 10 gaming donations until 2015 when -- what our 11 party did was went through a constitutional 12 renewal process and went through a constitution that had, you know, I think been there as far 13 14 back as Art Lee, when he was the leader and 15 Gordon Wilson and Gordon Campbell, and there was 16 a long history to it and it was a bit of a 17 mishmash. They decided they wanted to make it a 18 foundational document, which is what a 19 constitution is supposed to be. And so one of 20 the things that came out of that was the ban on 21 gaming donations, which I don't think exists in 22 the constitution of any political party anywhere 23 in Canada. 24 Okay. During the time period --Q 25 But I wasn't involved in any of those Α

1		discussions. I mean, that was a the
2		constitution of the party is the business of
3		party members and they spent probably two years
4		working on it.
5	Q	Okay. I've been told that some publicly
6		available sources suggest that some
7		gaming service a couple of gaming service
8		providers, Gateway and Great Canadian, donated
9		to your party during the time you were Premier
10		amounts in the six figures. Is that something
11		you're aware of?
12	A	It could be. And, you know, I don't know how
13		much they would have donated to the New
14		Democrats and other parties. I'm sure that they
15		did as well. That would be the that would be
16		typical for most donors, to give to both
17		parties. And but you know, I would say
18		remember the an election spending parties
19		typically spend about so million dollars in
20		British Columbia or did then on an election, so
21		if it was \$100,000 it would be you know, it's
22		a significant amount, but it's not it's still
23		a fraction of the total amount that's
24		contributed to a party, and my view of the
25		reason people gave money to my party wherever

1		they were from was because we believed in a
2		strong economy, we believed in lower taxes and
3		we believed in smaller government and we
4		believed in more jobs for people. And so, you
5		know, people there are different philosophies
6		that different parties bring and people donate
7		to those parties based on the ones that are
8		closest to their big philosophical view of, you
9		know, where they want the province to go.
10	Q	Do you have a view given your experience as to
11		the advisability of a party forming government
12		taking sizable donations from gaming service
13		providers whom the government has charged with
14		regulating?
15	А	Well, government, you know, political parties
16		still to this day take donations from
17		organizations and businesses across the province
18		that we regulate. So forestry companies donate
19		to political parties. That's a regulated
20		business. Mining as well. Liquor companies,
21		also regulated. I mean, you could go right
22		across pharmaceutical companies, also
23		regulated. So, I mean, there's a lot of that
24		exists right across government, so, you know,
25		it's not unique to gaming by any stretch of the

1	imagination. And, you know, but, again, most
2	donors give money to all the political parties
3	they're allowed to. For the most part they
4	weren't allowed to give it to the BC Liberal
5	Party.
6	MR. McGOWAN: Okay. Mr. Commissioner, I have reached
7	a convenient time for a pause, and I am very
8	near or perhaps at the conclusion of my
9	questioning. I'm going to suggest a 15-minute
10	break.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. We'll take 15 minutes.
12	Thank you.
13	THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is adjourned for a
14	15-minute recess until 11:21 a.m.
15	(WITNESS STOOD DOWN)
16	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 11:06 A.M.)
17	(PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 11:20 A.M.)
18	CHRISTY CLARK, a witness
19	for the commission,
20	recalled.
21	THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing
22	is resumed. Mr. Commissioner.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar.
24	Yes, Mr. McGowan.
25	MR. McGOWAN: Yes, Mr. Commissioner. I've just about

1 come to the conclusion of my questions for 2 Ms. Clark, and I've asked her a number of them. 3 EXAMINATION BY MR. McGOWAN (continuing): 4 Q But before concluding, Ms. Clark, you were in 5 government for many years and you're familiar with our mandate and our process, and with that 6 context in mind, I wonder if before concluding 7 8 my questions you have any comments or 9 suggestions you would like to direct to our 10 Commissioner. 11 Α No, you know, Mr. McGowan, I think we have 12 covered everything. You know, I would say that 13 there's -- there are -- I'm looking forward to 14 the results of the commission, though, because I 15 think that everything can be improved, and this 16 is one area that certainly can be improved. 17 It's a difficult and complex area of law 18 enforcement and obtaining justice because there 19 are so many agencies involved in it. My hope is 20 that the commission will be able to provide some 21 support for the province and the federal 22 government in making sure that that complexity 23 is either better managed or diminished so that 24 we can ensure that it's easier to get, you know, 25 get our hands collectively around the problem,

1	identify the problem, identify the individuals
2	responsible for it, collect the evidence and
3	ensure that those folks end up behind bars. You
4	know, that's the ultimate goal in all of this,
5	and I hope, you know I'm glad to have I
6	hope I'm able to have been a little bit helpful
7	with the commission today because I look forward
8	to the results.
9	MR. McGOWAN: Thank you for answering my questions,
10	Ms. Clark.
11	Mr. Commissioner, those are my questions.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you, Mr. McGowan.
13	I'll now turn to Mr. Stephens on behalf of
14	the British Columbia Lottery Corporation, who
15	has been allocated 15 minutes
16	MR. STEPHENS: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
17	MR. McGOWAN: We lost the video from Ms. Clark.
18	MR. STEPHENS: Well, if it assists, I have no
19	questions for this witness, while that's
20	addressed.
21	THE WITNESS: I'm good.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Stephens.
23	Mr. Rauch-Davis on behalf of Transparency
24	International Coalition, who similarly has been
25	allocated 15 minutes.

1 MR. RAUCH-DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.

2 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAUCH-DAVIS:

- 3 Q Ms. Clark, can you hear me okay?
- 4 A I can, thank you.
- 5 Q Good. So I take it from some of your evidence
- 6 this morning that you attribute the low number
- 7 of prosecutions to investigators and I think I
- 8 have a note saying that you relied on police to
- 9 investigate and continue to prosecution. Do I
- 10 have that right?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q No.
- 13 A No. As I said, it's a complex set of -- a
- 14 complex number of people and overlapping
- jurisdictions that are working together on this.
- 16 So certainly we depend on making sure that the
- 17 suspicious transactions are all properly
- 18 reported and then we depend on making sure that
- the reports are properly delivered and then that
- the reports are properly acted on and that the
- evidence that there's enough cooperation around
- through everyone to be able to coordinate and
- identify all the evidence that's there and then
- the prosecution can be put together and find its
- 25 way to the court system. So there's -- you

1		know, there's a whole range of things that need
2		to happen and all of them really need to happen
3		well, and they don't always happen as well as
4		they should, I guess, and that's you know,
5		that's what the commission, I hope, will provide
6		us some direction with.
7	Q	Sure. And I take it you would agree, though, at
8		some point it's incumbent on the Premier and
9		cabinet to take action to try and either improve
10		those numbers or at least get to the bottom of
11		why the numbers are so low.
12	А	And cabinet did take the government did take
13		action. You know, I've been through a couple
14		of you know, isolating chips by facility is
15		certainly one important thing, restricting the
16		exchange of small bills for large ones is
17		another one, temporary bans on patrons, more
18		enforcement from GPEB and BCLC in casinos. You
19		know, promoting the use of cash alternatives was
20		really important as well. And then in addition
21		to that, implementing all of the recommendations
22		from the Kroeker Report and then finally the
23		creation of the joint task force, which, you
24		know so there was a lot of action taken
25		because it's a big issue, it's an important

1 issue, one that we were really concerned about 2 and one that we took action on throughout the 3 time that I was there. 4 Q Right. And I notice in your answer just now I 5 think every aspect you mentioned refers to 6 gaming. Do I have that right? Yeah. Well, isn't that what we're talking about 7 Α 8 here? 9 Q No, I mean generally. I'm asking money laundering in general. You said that priority 10 of your cabinet was to be tough on gang 11 12 violence, tough on gang crimes, and I think part 13 of your evidence this morning was that of course 14 gangs need to launder their money, and so I'm 15 wondering -- well, I'm wondering you appreciate 16 that there are low numbers of successful money 17 laundering prosecutions in general, not just on 18 the gaming side; right? 19 M'mm-hmm. Α 20 Yeah, and so I'm wondering in addition to the Q 21 responses to the gaming issues, what did your 22 cabinet do to address money laundering issues at 23 large? 24 Well, the organized crime agency of British Α

Columbia and the CFSEU would have -- you know,

1		were focused on those issues specifically.
2		Those are funded by the province and organized,
3		you know, in part by the province. The province
4		participates in those. And every time that we
5		put a gangster in jail, we have an impact, I
6		hope, on the sources of their money and the way
7		that they might recycle that money through the
8		economy.
9	Q	All right. And on the topic of the casinos,
10		when you learned of the issue with the large
11		cash transactions at the casinos I think your
12		evidence was that was around 2015 that you
13		learned of those through the media reports?
14	А	Learned that there had been a spike in those
15		suspicious transactions that had been reported.
16	Q	Did you ever turn your mind to where those
17		bricks of \$20 bills were coming from?
18	А	Well, I can say what I turned my mind to is that
19		we needed to make sure that law enforcement and
20		the government's agencies and the Gaming Policy
21		Enforcement Branch and all of the relevant
22		partners in this and BCLC were all working
23		together to ensure that the evidence could be
24		properly collected to figure out where all that
25		money was coming from and where it was going and

1		how it was being laundered so that we would get
2		from, you know, identify some suspects, that
3		they could identify some suspects and we could
4		seek justice through the courts.
5	Q	Right. And then part of that was the creation
6		of JIGIT; right?
7	А	M'mm-hmm.
8	Q	And the scope of JIGIT was, again, specific to
9		gaming; right?
10	А	M'mm-hmm.
11	Q	M'mm-hmm if you could just say yes for the
12		purpose of the record.
13	А	M'mm-hmm, yes.
14	Q	And I take it well, I wonder, I'll ask: what
15		steps did you take to further investigate the
16		source of these bricks of \$20 bills?
17	А	Well, it's not I mean, it's not government's
18		job to investigate crime. That's why we have
19		law enforcement and we have the GPEB and that's
20		why we created JIGIT, so that they could make
21		sure that that work was done. Because, you
22		know, collecting evidence of crime, identifying
23		suspects in crimes and putting together a
24		prosecution isn't properly something that
25		government and bureaucrats and politicians do.

1	Q	Right. And I understand that, but as you said
2		you did create JIGIT in response to a perceived
3		issue, so there are a number of steps that
4		cabinet can take to enable law enforcement to
5		successfully investigate or to have better
6		success; right?
7	А	M'mm-hmm.
8	Q	And, again, you're saying m'mm-hmm. Yes?
9	А	And that's what JIGIT was.
10	Q	That's what JIGIT was. Your interpretation of
11		JIGIT was to investigate the source of the
12		\$20 bricks that were coming in?
13	А	No, that's not what I said. What I said was the
14		creation of the joint task force, its purpose
15		was to ensure that the coordination could
16		happen, that the organizations were all working
17		together so that these prosecutions could
18		become could be successful, the evidence
19		could be collected, and, you know, it was really
20		important that there be a coordinating body that
21		was well funded and properly specifically
22		focused to make sure that we could deal with
23		money laundering that was happening in casinos.
24		Because it was obvious, I think, you know, to
25		parts of government, people in government but

1		also I think people in law enforcement that
2		there hadn't been sufficient coordination
3		between them and you can't get a prosecution.
4		You know, what we were hearing is that you
5		couldn't put together a successful prosecution,
6		couldn't collect the appropriate evidence and,
7		you know, identify the suspects without better
8		coordination. So that was the purpose of JIGIT.
9		And, you know, whether or not, you know, the
10		GPEB folks or whoever it was on the JIGIT in
11		the JIGIT group was actually doing the spade
12		work and, you know, kind of doing the
13		investigation on the ground, I suspect that
14		there were probably a lot more people involved
15		on the ground than that.
16	Q	My friend Mr. McGowan also asked you a few
17		questions on the impact of money laundering or
18		the perceived impact of money laundering on the
19		housing market and I have a note that your
20		evidence was basically to your mind there was no
21		suggestion of money laundering affecting prices
22		and then you gave a list of reasons why housing
23		prices were going up. Do I kind of have that
24		right?
25	А	Yes.

1 0 Yeah. And I take it that's based on your own 2 opinion. Right? 3 Well, based on input from the -- from folks Α 4 around the Ministry of Finance in particular but 5 also from economists with whom we would consult about, you know, the future of the economy and 6 7 also other groups. I mean, there's a huge 8 number of organizations out there from the 9 banking community to organizations that are 10 supporting economic growth, non-profits that do that work that offer that kind of analysis. 11 12 And, you know, of course as I said the Ministry 13 of Finance as well. So we certainly -- you 14 know, I think -- what I would say too is we've 15 got housing prices are now at record highs. The 16 crisis is far worse now than it was five years 17 ago, and, you know, I'd just be careful about 18 drawing the conclusion that money laundering was 19 the source of all of that -- has been the source 20 of all of that growth. 21 Right. Did you ever commission an objective Q 22 study to see if money laundering was a source of 23 growth? 24 Well, you know, we hadn't heard any discussion Α

from anyone that it had been a source of that

1 growth, and there are -- as I said, there are a 2 lot of people with deep expertise in this area 3 inside government and outside government. None 4 of them had suggested that there had been --5 that it was a significant reason for it, and I note too in the Maloney report, which the --6 7 which had been provided to the commission, she 8 notes as well that money laundering, you know, 9 that ending money laundering will not impact 10 affordability in British Columbia. So I think there are a lot of reasons and the big ones are 11 12 interest -- for us were low interest rates, a 13 very strong economy, best job creation numbers 14 in Canada and the resulting in migration from 15 other provinces, people looking for jobs and 16 more take-home pay but also people immigrating 17 from other countries in the world. 18 Right. But I take it your answer is that no --Q 19 yourself and your cabinet did not get an 20 independent study to see if money laundering did 21 contribute to the rising housing prices? 22 There was no reason to believe that we should. Α 23 Q Right. So that's a no? 24 M'mm-hmm. Α 25 Are you aware of the FATF report that was Q

Q

released in 2016? That's the Financial Action 1 2 Task Force. 3 Α No. 4 Q No. Did the issue of corporate transparency 5 ever come up to you while you were in office? What aspect of it? 6 Α 7 Q Whether or not beneficial owners should be 8 registered or whether that type of information 9 should be collected on legal entities? 10 Yes, indeed. And of course we were the first Α jurisdiction in North America, not just in 11 12 Canada but in North America, to introduce a foreign buyer's tax. Which did, by the way, 13 14 have an impact in depressing the price of homes for a little while. It wasn't as sustained --15 16 as demand side solutions usually are, it was a 17 temporary impact that it had. But we were the first ones to do that. And in terms of kind of 18 19 deciding to expand that to trusts, that work 20 just hadn't been done yet, the detailed work 21 hadn't been done on that yet, but we did 22 consider it and we knew that it was a -- we 23 considered it to be a future option for us, 24 something that we might do in the future. 25 Did you ever consider a beneficial ownership

1 registry for all corporations, trusts and now 2 real estate? 3 You know, I can't remember if that was part of 4 it, but I'm sure that that would -- it could 5 have been part of the thinking of the Ministry of Finance. 6 And when was this? 7 Q 8 Well, we introduced the foreign buyer's tax, I Α think, in 2015. It could have been 2016. And, 9 10 you know, as I said, we were the first ones to 11 do it anywhere in North America. It was untried 12 and kind of -- you know, as a result kind of 13 unproven in North America. So we were careful 14 and extremely diligent about how we did it. We 15 wanted to do it with a minimum of complexity 16 because we wanted to make sure that we got it 17 right. So, you know, there are other elements, 18 though, that, you know, in terms of foreign 19 purchases that I know have been added since, 20 which I think makes some sense. 21 Perhaps I'll clarify the question. In terms of Q 22 beneficial ownership, I don't mean to limit my 23 question to foreign entities. I mean local 24 entities as well. Did the issue of beneficial

ownership of BC registered companies ever come

1 up as an issue while you were in office? 2 It was something that government had talked Α 3 about, but -- and, again, it was something that 4 I thought of as being on a -- potentially on a 5 future agenda for government, and I know that 6 the current government has taken some advice, 7 probably from, you know, perhaps even based on 8 the work that was done then to expand that. 9 Q Thanks. Final few questions here. On the topic 10 of party donations, when you were in office the BC liberals could accept party donations from 11 12 corporations and trusts; right? Or legal 13 entities? 14 Yes. Α 15 Yes. And really you had no idea who owned those Q 16 companies; right? 17 Well, no, not -- the election law requires that Α 18 that be disclosed as part of the donations. So 19 anonymous donations were never allowed, or at 20 least not in my recollection, in my 21 participation of politics, from anonymous 22 sources. 23 Q The election law requires the reporting of all 24 owners of the corporate entities that are --25 Well, the corporate entity needs to be reported Α

1	and the election law over the years has become
2	more specific in trying to make sure that the
3	that it's easier to identify the original source
4	of the money. And it's gotten stricter it
5	got stricter and stricter over the years, but
6	you know, nonetheless, if you go and you if
7	anyone wanted to go look at past election
8	declarations for financing, they'll see a long
9	list of mostly individual names but also company
10	names.
11	MR. RAUCH-DAVIS: Thank you. Those are my questions.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Rauch-Davis.
13	And now I'll turn to Ms. Hughes for the
14	Province, who has been allocated 10 minutes.
15	MS. HUGHES: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
16	EXAMINATION BY MS. HUGHES:
17	Q Ms. Clark, can you hear me all right?
18	A I can hear you.
19	Q Excellent. Thank you. Now, just to start off,
20	returning back to the 2011 time frame, and I
21	believe you indicated that the report that was
22	commissioned from Robert Kroeker, which we've
23	sort of been colloquially referring to as the
24	Kroeker Report, that was delivered in August of
25	2011. Do I have that right?

- 1 A Yes.
- 3 your practice or whether you in fact did review
- 4 that report when it came in?
- 5 A I did.
- 6 Q Okay. And what steps did you take following
- 7 receipt of the report?
- 8 A I said -- I mean, my first step was we need to
- 9 make sure that we're implementing all of this.
- 10 But, you know, what happened was there is
- process, and so it went to the ministry and the
- 12 ministry offered its response. And the
- ministry's response was exactly what my
- immediate response had been, which was we're
- going to get it all done. And I wanted to see a
- 16 plan to make sure that, you know, they had a
- 17 plan to do it and they produced that plan and
- 18 started working on it.
- 19 Q Okay. And do you recall that plan coming back
- to you? What format was that?
- 21 A It came in the form of -- well, the ministry
- came forward with an action plan, and you're
- probably going to ask me what date that was, and
- I honestly -- I can't remember, but I don't
- 25 think it was very long after Mr. Kroeker had

1		submitted his report in August. So the ministry
2		produced an action plan and it became part of
3		the public record. And then, you know, that
4		action plan found its way into the letters of
5		expectation into the service plans across the
6		relevant ministries in government. And all that
7		reporting back on each of those happened over
8		the years afterwards.
9	Q	Okay. And so fair to say, then, I think, based
10		on your evidence, most of your understanding of
11		what was being done at the BCLC level in terms
12		of addressing money laundering was came to
13		you through BCLC's letters of expectation and
14		the service plans; is that right?
15	А	Yes. And, you know, as I said a little bit
16		earlier to Mr. McGowan, there's there is a
17		lot of communication that happens within
18		government and across government, you know, in,
19		up, down and across and every direction. And so
20		I depended on a very capable civil service to
21		make sure that I knew if things were not
22		happening and were not being done that had
23		been you know, expectations were not being
24		met, and the reporting structure was pretty
25		thorough throughout government. So everything

Christy Clark (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Hughes

from treasury board to, you know, interministry 1 2 and intraministry connections would find its way 3 up to the deputy which would find its way to me 4 if there were problems in general. So, you 5 know, it's a good system that survives the 6 changes in government, and I had a lot of 7 confidence in those folks and I think I was 8 justified in that. Fair enough. Exactly. And so I think what 9 Q 10 you're saying, though, is there was a certain -you relied on your deputies or your ministers in 11 12 fact to make sure that if there was a problem, 13 it came to your attention. 14 Yes, I did. Α 15 And I think that's consistent with your evidence Q 16 that you weren't necessarily involved in the 17 day-to-day operations of the ministries or the 18 Crown corps, the various Crown corporations. 19 Well, if I had been, I would have been the Α 20 minister of only one thing. 21 Exactly. Q 22 There's 20 ministries in government, so you Α 23 can't -- there's really -- yeah, it's -- I mean, 24 a Premier, a good -- in my experience a good CEO 25 or a good Premier, a good manager, allows other

1		people in the organization to do their jobs and
2		trust them to do it well, ask them to report
3		back on key measures and then makes a judgment
4		about whether or not they're doing their job
5		well based on the results that they've produced.
6		So, you know, it's a question of setting
7		the right, clear goals, setting clear rules, and
8		setting a process for reporting back on that and
9		ensuring that the monitoring is happening.
10		Which is the way that I ran government.
11	Q	Right. And so I think based on what you've said
12		today if, for example, one of your ministers
13		from the various different time frames who was
14		more directly involved in the issues, you would
15		agree that perhaps you would defer on the
16		day-to-day operations or the more minute points
17		to their recollections or their evidence.
18	А	Yes, yes. And remember though, too, the Premier
19		doesn't just depend on the minister. The
20		Premier also depends on the deputy ministers and
21		the Assistant Deputy Ministers with whom I had a
22		lot of contact because there were frequently
23		cabinet meeting and other meetings that we were
24		having. So there's it's the Premier
25		doesn't ever just have a isn't captive to a

1		single source for information about a ministry.
2	Q	Okay. And moving away slightly just to another
3		discrete point. Your evidence, I just want to
4		make sure I have this clear. You said
5		government took action to address money
6		laundering, and I want to make sure I understand
7		what steps to your knowledge were being taken
8		and understanding that based on what you've just
9		said there may have been other steps being taken
10		that didn't come to your knowledge, but just if
11		you can bear with me here. Do I have this right
12		that in response to what you understood to be
13		the money laundering issues in the 2011 to 2015
14		time frame you understood that letters of
15		expectation were being sent to BCLC that
16		included money laundering?
17	А	Yes. And further I you know, because they
18		were reporting back I mean, it's one thing to
19		send somebody a letter and say, here's what we
20		expect you to do. It's a whole other to say,
21		and I want you to report back and we're going to
22		pay attention to what you've done and what you
23		say you haven't done. And so that monitoring
24		was constantly in place across government as
25		well.

1	Q	Right. And the reporting back, that would be by
2		way primarily of the service plans?
3	А	By the service plans but also all the informal
4		interactions that would happen. I mean, BC
5		Lottery Corporation is a big Crown corporation
6		of government. It's important to the in
7		whatever ministry it's located, so there was a
8		fair amount of attention they would have
9		received on a weekly, daily basis because that
10		interaction was I'm going to guess probably
11		be fair to say it was constant.
12	Q	So to your understanding, then, there was also
13		informal communication occurring, for example,
14		between BCLC and the minister responsible?
15	А	Yeah. Yeah. Well, I mean, the minister
16		responsible, probably not daily. I mean,
17		certainly not daily. And certainly not the
18		deputy daily either because the deputies have a
19		big ministry just like the ministers do. But
20		there would have been very regular contact at
21		some level in the civil service between the
22		Crowns and, you know, our civil servants.
23	Q	Okay. So we've covered off that bit. The other
24		step you mentioned was implementation of the
25		Kroeker Report?

- A M'mm-hmm.
- 2 Q That's another step. Sorry, as Mr. Rauch-Davis
- mentioned, we do need to say yes or no just for
- 4 the record.
- 5 A Okay, yes. Yes.
- 6 Q Thank you. I know what "m'mm-hmm" means, but --
- and then the last step that I noted was the task
- 8 force being implemented.
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And by that I understand you're referring to
- JIGIT.
- 12 A Yes.
- Okay. Now, so is it your understanding that the
- 14 recommendations from the Kroeker Report were
- fully implemented during your time as Premier?
- 16 A Yeah, I mean, but they were sub -- but they were
- 17 fully done. You know, when I look back at the
- 18 list of things that Mr. Kroeker recommended, you
- know, we can match that forward to what happened
- and, you know, my understanding then was that
- 21 yes, we'd substantially completed, especially
- once JIGIT had been created, the agenda that
- he'd set out for us.
- Q Okay. And I take it you're referring there up
- 25 to about 2015; is that right?

1	А	M'mm-hmm. And in addition to that too, I mean,
2		there were other steps which I talked a little
3		bit about, you know, about isolating chips of
4		facilities and bans on patrons and those kinds
5		of things. There were a range of other things
6		that government did in addition to what
7		Mr. Kroeker had recommended and then of course
8		the joint task force.
9	Q	Right. And just to be clear there, when you're
10		talking about other steps that government did in
11		terms of the chips and the banning, those were
12		steps BCLC took as Crown corp?
13	А	They were indeed.
14	Q	Yes, okay. Just to as BCLC, as you know, had
15		the obligation for conducting and managing
16		gaming?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. And then just one last point I'd like to
19		nail down with you, Ms. Clark. In your witness
20		statement you mention that Mr. De Jong came to
21		you and mentioned a spike in suspicious activity
22		in 2016, but I heard your evidence this morning
23		that this happened in 2015.
24	А	I apologize if I've got that wrong. I thought
25		it was 2015, but you know, I apologize if I

might have found that --1 2 I'm just asking whether you --Q 3 I think it was 2015, but Mr. De Jong will be Α 4 testifying, I think. He'll be speaking with the 5 commission, so you can clarify that with him, but that's my recollection of it. 6 Okay. And do you have any recollection of when 7 Q 8 in 2015 that happened? Well, it was about two weeks before JIGIT was 9 Α 10 actually created, so if you can -- if the commission can identify specifically that date 11 12 that the public announcement was made, it would 13 have been go back two weeks and that would have 14 been around the date that he and I spoke. 15 MS. HUGHES: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Clark. 16 Mr. Commissioner, I have no further questions 17 for this witness. 18 THE WITNESS: Thanks, Ms. Hughes. 19 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Hughes. 20 Mr. Cooper, do you have some questions of Ms. Clark? 21 22 MR. COOPER: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. All of the 23 questions that I had thought I might have to ask 24 have been addressed in the evidence heard so 25 far, so there isn't any need for me to ask

1 anything. Thank you. 2 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Cooper. 3 Anything arising from Ms. Hughes, 4 Mr. Rauch-Davis? 5 MR. RAUCH-DAVIS: No, thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. McGowan? 7 MR. McGOWAN: I have nothing further, 8 Mr. Commissioner. Thank you. THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Clark, I would like to thank 9 10 you for taking the time to share your experiences and recollections with us. It has 11 12 been helpful to get your perspective as Premier 13 during the period from 2011 until 2017. And it 14 does cast considerable light on the engagement 15 of your government with the issue that we're 16 grappling with, so I am grateful to you for 17 that. You are excused from any further 18 testimony. 19 (WITNESS EXCUSED) 20 THE COMMISSIONER: And, Mr. McGowan, I take it we 21 will now adjourn until tomorrow at 9:30. Is 22 that right? 23 MR. McGOWAN: That's correct. 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. It was

a -- I'm glad I -- I hope I was helpful, and I'm

1	glad if I was. And I look forward to your
2	report.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
4	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned until
5	April 21st, 2021, at 9:30 a.m. Thank you.
6	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 11:49 A.M. TO APRIL 21, 2021)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	